

This Section presents Suwannee-Satilla Council's water management practices selected to address resource shortfalls or gaps identified and described in Section 5, and/or meet the Council's Vision and Goals described in Section 1.

6.1. Identifying Water Management Practices

The comparison of Resource Assessments and forecasted needs presented in Section 5 identifies the Region's likely resource shortfalls or gaps and demonstrates the need for region and resource specific water management practices. In the cases where shortfalls or gaps appear to be unlikely based on the comparison of the Region's Resource Assessments and forecasted needs, the management practices described

Summary

Insert text regarding the summary of this section.

in this section have been selected to also meet those needs specified by the Council (e.g., facility/infrastructure needs and practices, programmatic practices, etc.) that are aligned with the Region's vision and goals. In selecting the actions needed (i.e., water management practices), the Council considered practices identified in existing plans, the region vision and goals, and coordinated with local governments and water providers as well as neighboring Councils who share these water resources.

Review of Existing Plans and Practices

The Council conducted a comprehensive review of existing local and regional water management plans and relevant related documents to frame the selection of management practices. When possible, successful management practices already planned for and/or in use in the Region formed the basis for the water management practices selected by the Council.

*ADD VERBIAGE SUMMARIZING THE TYPES OF PLANS THAT WERE REVIEWED IN DEVELOPING THE MPs



6.2. Selected Water Management Practices for the Suwannee-Satilla Region

Table 6-1 summarizes the Suwannee-Satilla Council's selected management practices by source of supply for the relevant demand sector(s), permitted municipal and industrial water and wastewater capacity, water quality assimilative capacity (dissolved oxygen) challenges, current water quality impairments, and nutrient considerations for the Satilla River watershed. Information on shared resources is provided to identify where management practices in other regional Councils are also needed to address identified gaps. The table summarizes general information regarding management practices needed to meet forecasted needs, and more detailed information on management practices needed to address gaps between available resources and forecasted needs.

In the Suwannee-Satilla region, there are both surface water flow regime and demand gaps. A flow regime gap occurs when surface water consumption results in low flow conditions more severe than those occurring without consumption, so there is not sufficient flow to meet both offstream and instream needs. The low flow condition used was the lower of the unimpaired 1 in 10 year 7-day low flow for each month of the year or the cumulative unimpaired daily flow. A demand gap occurs when there is insufficient flow to meet the current or forecasted water supply need for the specified demand sector.

The selected management practices will over time address identified gaps when combined with practices for all shared resource regions. The Suwannee-Satilla region addresses closure of gaps by: identification and implementation of specific actions to add/improve infrastructure and improve flow and water quality conditions; confirming the presence and magnitude of gaps; and assessing the impact of infrequent surface water gaps and the associated costs and benefits associated with these gaps.



| Table 6-1: Management Practices Selected for the Suwannee-Satilla Water Planning Region | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) | | | | | |
| | Surface Water (SW) Current and Future Use in Gap Areas | | | | | | |
| | ction/Additional Research (DCAR) to confirm frequency, duration water gaps and identify significant causes (climate, timing, water ushortages to 7Q10 low-flow conditions | | | | | | |
| DCAR-1 | Acquire additional data/information on agricultural consumptive use to confirm or refine if agricultural consumption is less than 100% consumptive | 1,4,5,13 | | | | | |
| | Conduct "modeling scenario analysis to bracket a reasonable range of consumption" with Resource Assessment models using "new" information on consumptive use to assess effect on surface water gap | | | | | | |
| DCAR-2 | Refine surface water agricultural forecasts and Resource Assessment model methods and assumptions to improve data on source of supply and timing/operation of farm ponds | 1,4,5,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-3 | Refine and improve surface water resource assessment and agricultural forecasts to address spatial and temporal hydrologic variations (i.e., including but not limited to evapotranspiration, infiltration, runoff) in relationship to forecasts, climate conditions, and other non-water use variables. This includes developing a better understanding of agricultural and residential water storage systems (ponds) and their effect on low flow conditions. | 1,4,5,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-4 | Continue to fund, improve, and incorporate metering data regarding agricultural water use Collect and use this information in Water Plan updates | 5,6,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-5 | Collaborate/support research (University, State, and Corporate) on improved irrigation efficiency measures and development of lower water use crops and lower water use plant strains | 5,6,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-6 | Improve education and research on when and how much water is needed to maximize crop yield with efficient irrigation | 5,6,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-7 | Promote management practices and educate stakeholders to minimize impacts to shallow/surficial aquifers that may impact surface water flows | 1,5,6,13 | | | | | |
| DCAR-8 | Conduct analysis of the socioeconomic benefits and cost in comparison to ecological benefits of addressing surface water gaps that are larger in magnitude, but occur infrequently. Results from gap analysis indicate that approximately 80-90% of the gaps in the region can be addressed with 15-20% of the water supply that is needed to address the largest gap. | 1,5,11 | | | | | |





| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) | |
|-----------------|--|---|--|
| DCAR-9 | Conduct research to determine the feasibility and potential benefits and limitations of aquifer storage and recovery and/or recharge of surficial and other aquifers to help retime flows to gap periods | 4-7 | |
| DCAR-10 | Develop plan of study and conduct research to evaluate the opportunities and limitations associated with improving river flow conditions via creation/restoration of wetlands, and if deemed potentially feasible, identify potential location(s) and estimates of potential improvements to stream flow conditions. This effort should include identification of the incentives that could be used to make this a viable water supply option. | 4,8 | |
| irrigation prac | servation (WC) – Encourage the development and use of higher ctices, where and when economically viable, including considera 3 & 4 practices from the Water Conservation Implementation P all practices are needed to realize conservation savings since practice | tion of the following Tiers lan (WCIP) | |
| | Tier 3 Conservation Practices ¹ | | |
| WC-3 | Conduct irrigation audits | 6,13 | |
| WC-4 | Meter irrigation systems | 6,13 | |
| WC-5 | Inspect pipes and plumbing to control water loss | 6 | |
| WC-6 | Minimize/reduce the use of high-pressure spray guns on fixed and traveler systems where feasible | 6 | |
| WC-7 | Utilize cropping and crop rotation methods that promote efficiency | 6 | |
| WC-8 | Practice conservation tillage | 6 | |
| | Tier 4 Conservation Practices ¹ | | |
| WC-9 | Control water loss | 6 | |
| WC-10 | Encourage use of end-gun shutoff with pivots | 6 | |
| WC-11 | Encourage use of low pressure irrigation systems where feasible (soil specific) | 6 | |
| WC-12 | Encourage and improve use of soil moisture sensors, ET sensors, or crop water use model(s) to time cycles | 6,13 | |
| | dditional/Alternatives to Existing Surface Water Supply Sou | rces (ASWS) | |
| ASWS-1 | Future surface water uses - If surface water (ponds and withdrawals) is sought for future water supply (new permits), Applicant, GSWCC, and EPD should work collaboratively to demonstrate that future surface water uses will not contribute to frequency or magnitude of gaps^{2,3} | 1,4,5 | |



| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) |
|---------|---|---|
| ASWS-2 | Future surface water uses - Utilizing incentives and collaborative partnerships, examine opportunities to optimize farm and other pond operations to obtain releases in dry/gap years ^{2,3} | 1,3-5 |
| ASWS-3 | Future surface water uses - Encourage additional groundwater development as a preferred source of supply for future demand in surface water gap areas ^{2,3} | 1,2,5,11 |
| ASWS-4 | Existing surface water uses- Encourage replacement of a portion of existing surface water irrigation use with groundwater in times of shortage to 7Q10 dry periods; so long as use of the groundwater source does not impact surface water flow in other areas | 1,4,5 |
| ASWS-5 | Existing surface water uses- Utilizing incentives and collaborative partnerships, identify opportunities that allow for use of agricultural pond storage to augment river flows in times of shortage to 7Q10 dry periods | 1,3-5 |
| ASWS-6 | Existing surface water uses - Identify need for, and feasibility of, seasonal surface water permit conditions for existing uses to address times of shortage to 7Q10 dry periods | 1,4,5 |
| | Phase implementation as follows: Phase 1 (Direct stream withdrawals); Phase 2 (Consider pond storage effects based on outcome of research from DCAR-2 and DCAR-3) | |
| ASWS-7 | Based on outcome of research (DCAR-10 above), consider incentive-based programs to restore wetlands and other areas if this practice can improve river flows during shortages to 7Q10 dry periods | 1,4,5,8 |
| ASWS-8 | Evaluate incentive-based land use practices to help promote infiltration and aquifer recharge | 1,4,5,7 |
| ASWS-9 | Evaluate incentive-based programs to increase wastewater returns Modify land application system, septic systems, and manage stormwater to improve return flows | 1,4,5,10 |
| ASWS-10 | Possible joint non-main stem reservoir with Upper-Flint and/or Lower Flint-Ochlockonee Councils | 1,4,5,9 |
| ASWS-11 | Regional inter-basin transfers (i.e., Ocmulgee to Alapaha and Altamaha to Little Satilla); collaborating between regions to meet regional water needs and benefit both the areas from which the transferred water is withdrawn and the area receiving the water | 1,4,5 |
| | Management Practices to Address Water Quality Ga | aps |
| | Point Sources – Dissolved Oxygen (PSDO) | |
| PSDO-1 | Data collection to confirm loading and/or receiving stream chemistry | 1,4,5,13 |



| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) | | | | | |
|---------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| PSDO-2 | Modification of wastewater discharge location | 4,5 | | | | | |
| PSDO-3 | Upgrade or replacement of treatment facilities | 4,5,8 | | | | | |
| | Management Practices to Address Permit Capacity G | Saps | | | | | |
| | Available Municipal Wastewater Permit Capacity (MWV | WPC) ⁴ | | | | | |
| MWWPC-1 | Obtain additional wastewater permit capacity to meet forecasted needs | 5 | | | | | |
| | Available Industrial Wastewater Permit Capacity (IWW | /PC) ⁵ | | | | | |
| IWWPC-1 | Obtain additional permit data regarding flow volumes and permit conditions for industrial wastewater facilities forecasted needs | 5 | | | | | |
| | Municipal Groundwater Permit Capacity (MGPC) | 5 | | | | | |
| MGPC-1 | Obtain groundwater permit capacity | 1,4,5 | | | | | |
| | Industrial Groundwater Permit Capacity (IGWPC) ⁷ | | | | | | |
| IGWPC-1 | Obtain groundwater permit capacity | 1,4,5 | | | | | |
| | Management Practices to Address Groundwater (GW) Cu Future Uses to Meet Forecasts | rrent and | | | | | |
| GW-1 | Continue to sustainably drill wells, use, and develop water from the Upper Floridan and other significant aquifers | 1,4,5 | | | | | |
| GW-2 | Encourage land use practices that sustain and protect aquifer recharge areas (both inside and outside the region) for the aquifers that are present in the region | 4,5,7 | | | | | |
| GW-3 | Continue to refine sustainable yield metrics, monitor and improve understanding of historic, current, and future trends in groundwater levels Continue to refine modeling and other tools | 1,4,5,13 | | | | | |
| GW-4 | Research and incorporate Floridan water forecasting information for future modeling and refine modeling if warranted | 1,4,5,13 | | | | | |
| | Water Conservation (WC) Practices | | | | | | |
| WC-1 | Encourage implementation and adherence to WCIP by local governments/utilities for Tiers 1 and 2 conservation measures for municipal and industrial uses | 6 | | | | | |
| WC-2 | Encourage implementation and adherence to WCIP by agricultural groundwater users of Tiers 3 and 4 conservation measures | 6 | | | | | |



| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Management Practices to Address Surface Water (SW) | | | | | | |
| | Current and Future Uses Outside Gap Areas | | | | | | |
| SW-1 | Continue to apply for permits and use surface water in non- gap areas within the available surface water resource capacity | 1,4,5 | | | | | |
| SW-2 | Monitor Satilla River flow conditions to sustain estuary conditions | 4,8,9,13 | | | | | |
| Manage | ment Practices to Address Future Water Quality Non-Point S | Source (NPS) Needs | | | | | |
| | (Dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform, nutrients, and other imp | pairments) | | | | | |
| NPS-1 | Data collection/analysis to confirm if dissolved oxygen and/or fecal coliform is human induced | 4,8,13 | | | | | |
| NPS-2 | Support efforts to monitor and determine the sources of nutrient loading and other NPS impairments to waters of the State, and upon confirmation of source, develop specific management programs to address | 4,8,10,13 | | | | | |
| | practices are selected by the Suwannee-Satilla Council to encourtilicable local or state program(s) including incorporation of releva | | | | | | |
| | Urban Best Management Practices (NPSU) | | | | | | |
| NPSU-1 | Use soil erosion and sediment control measures | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| NPSU-2 | Stormwater retention ponds, wetlands, and bioretention areas to manage runoff quality and flow rate and help support river flows (City of Valdosta Watershed Protection Plan, 2009) | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| NPSU-3 | Consider measures to reduce directly-connected impervious area and promote increased infiltration of stormwater to help reduce nutrient and other pollutant runoff (City of Baxley Watershed Protection Plan, 2007) | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| NPSU-4 | Protect and maintain riparian buffers along urban streams | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| NPSU-5 | Implement street sweeping program (City of Pearson Watershed Protection Plan, 2008) | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| | Rural Best Management Practices (NPSR) | | | | | | |
| NPSR-1 | Implement BMPs to control runoff from dirt roads by encouraging County implementation of the BMPs indentified in Georgia Resource Conservation and Development Council, "Georgia Better Back Roads – Field Manual" | 4,8,10 | | | | | |
| | Forestry Best Management Practices (NPSF) | | | | | | |
| NPSF-1 | Support Georgia Forestry Commission's (GFC) water quality program consisting of BMP development, education/outreach, implementation/compliance monitoring, and complaint resolution process | 4,8,10,13 | | | | | |



| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) |
|--------|---|---|
| NPSF-2 | Improve BMP compliance through State-wide biennial BMP surveys and BMP assurance exams, Master Timber Harvester workshops, and continuing logger education If necessary, seek enforcement actions against registered | 4,8,10,13 |
| | foresters that operate out of compliance with BMPs | |
| NPSF-3 | Seek long-term conservation easements or purchase development rights by willing landowners and conservation groups | 4,8,10 |
| NPSF-4 | Where applicable, support United States Department of Agriculture incentive programs through the Farm Service Agency and NRCS to restore converted wetlands back to forested conditions | 4,8 |
| | ral Best Management Practices for Crop and Pasture Lands urage implementation of Georgia Soil and Water Conservation C and Education Programs | |
| NPSA-1 | Conservation tillage and cover crop | 4,6,8,10 |
| NPSA-2 | Field buffers, riparian forested buffers, and strip cropping to control runoff and reduce erosion | 4,6,8,10 |
| NPSA-3 | Livestock stock exclusions from direct contact with streams, rivers, and vegetation buffers | 4,8,10 |
| NPSA-4 | Responsible manure storage and handling | 4,8,10 |
| NPSA-5 | Incentives to restore wetlands and historically drained hardwood and other areas | 4,8 |
| E | xisting Impairments – Total Maximum Daily Load Listed Stre | eams (TMDL) |
| TMDL-1 | Data collection and confirmation of sources to remove streams listed due to "natural sources" | 4,13 |
| TMDL-2 | Data collection to refine river/stream reach length for impaired waters; focus on longest reaches to refine location and potential sources of impairments | |
| TMDL-3 | Stormwater Management: -Urban Best Management Practices -Forestry Best Management Practices -Agricultural Best Management Practices See Above Non-Point Source for Details | 4,8,10,13 |



| No. | Description/Definition of Action | Relationship of Action or Issue to Vision and Goals (Section 1.4) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Nutrients – Satilla and Savannah River Nutrient (Phosphorous and Nitrogen) Watershed Models (NUT) | | | | | | |
| NUT-1 | Align current land use with phosphorous and nitrogen loading data to help optimize effectiveness of management practice based on consideration of land uses and actual nutrient loading (i.e., predominant land use is not necessarily the predominant source of nutrients) -Urban Best Management Practices -Forestry Best Management Practices -Agricultural Best Management Practices See Above Non-Point Source for Details | 4,8,10,13 | | | | |
| | Management Practices to Address Future Educational Nee | eds (EDU) | | | | |
| EDU-1 | Support Water Conservation Programs | 1,4-6,13 | | | | |
| EDU-2 | Support Stormwater Educational Programs | 4,5,8,11 | | | | |
| EDU-3 | Support Septic System Maintenance Programs | 4,5,8 | | | | |
| EDU-4 | Support GFC Forestry BMP and UGA-SFI Logger Education Programs | 4,8,10 | | | | |
| Manag | gement Practices to Address Future Ordinance and Code Po | licy Needs (OCP) | | | | |
| OCP-1 | Encourage local government to develop ordinances and standards to implement and/or update stormwater and land development regulations. Possible resource documents include: Georgia Stormwater Management Manual, Coastal Stormwater Supplement, and Metro North Georgia Water Planning District Model Ordinances. | 4,8,10 | | | | |
| OCP-2 | Identify opportunities for green space on incentive and voluntary basis | 1,4,5 | | | | |
| OCP-3 | Encourage coordinated environmental planning, land use, stormwater, and wastewater | 1,2,4,5,10,13 | | | | |
| OCP-4 | Encourage local government to enforce Erosion and Sedimentation Control Ordinance (Cities of Pearson and Valdosta Watershed Protection Plans, 2008 & 2009) | 4,8,10 | | | | |
| | Shared Resources | | | | | |

To Be Determined from other Councils

(Altamaha, Upper Flint, Lower Flint-Ochlockonee)

Notes:

¹For agricultural water users in the Suwannee-Satilla Region, focus management practice on surface water permit holders and new surface water permit requests in the following watersheds and corresponding counties: Alapaha watershed [Atkinson (within region), Ben Hill, Berrien, Clinch, Echols, Irwin, Lanier, and Lowndes Counties], Withlacoochee watershed (Brooks, Crook, Lowndes, Tift, and Turner Counties), Satilla watershed (Bacon, Brantley, Pierce, and Ware Counties), and Suwannee watershed (Brantley, Charlton, Clinch, and Ware Counties).



Notes Continued:

²For agricultural water users in the Suwannee-Satilla Region, focus management practice on surface water permit holders and new surface water permit requests in the following watersheds and corresponding counties: Alapaha Watershed (Atkinson, Ben Hill, Berrien, Clinch, Echols, Irwin, Lanier, and Lowndes Counties), Withlacoochee Watershed (Brooks, Crook, Lowndes, Tift, and Turner Counties), Satilla Watershed (Bacon, Brantley, Pierce, and Ware Counties).

³Coordinate surface water gap closure with the following Councils: Altamaha (Wilcox County), Lower Flint-Ochlockonee (Colquitt and Worth Counties), and Lower Flint (Crisp County).

⁴Wastewater utilities should coordinate with EPD to obtain needed capacity. Regionally sufficient capacity exists; however, localized gaps may occur in Bacon, Cook, Lowndes, and Pierce Counties.

⁵Additional industrial wastewater capacity may be needed. EPD to update and refine discharge limit databases.

⁶Additional groundwater permit capacity may be needed in Brantley, Coffee, Echols, Lanier, Lowndes, Pierce and Ware Counties. Utilities in region should evaluate long-term needs and, if needed, work with EPD to obtain additional permit capacity.

⁷Additional groundwater permit capacity may be needed in Ben Hill, Cook, and Ware Counties. Industries in region should evaluate long-term needs and, if needed, work with EPD to obtain additional permit capacity.



This section presents the Suwannee-Satilla Council's roadmap for the implementation of the water management practices identified in Section 6. Schedules for implementation, in addition to the early step(s) required to initiate implementation of a given practice) are presented for both short and long term actions. The Suwannee-Satilla Council has defined short term as 2010 to 2020 and long term as 2020 to 2050. As the State Water Plan provides, this plan will be primarily implemented by the various water users in the region, therefore, the Suwannee-Satilla Council has described the roles and responsibilities of the implementing parties as well as the fiscal implications of the practices. Council emphasizes the implementation that recommended management practices are predicated on a number of planning assumptions related to:

Summary

Insert text regarding the summary of this section.

projected growth of population, industry, agricultural and energy needs; data sets and assumptions related to water use, water withdrawals and returns; data regarding water quality and watershed models; and resource assessment tools for surface water availability, surface water quality and groundwater availability. Consequently, significant changes or departures from these planning assumptions, forecasts, and resource assessment tools may require a modification of the recommended management practices, the implementation schedule, and/or the implementing entities/affected stakeholders. Future planning efforts should confirm current assumptions and make necessary revisions and/or improvements to the conclusions reached during this round of planning.

7.1. Implementation Schedule and Roles of Responsible Parties

Table 7-1 ties the resource shortfalls and the needs specified by the Council and the corresponding management practices detailed in Table 6-2 to the parties who will implement those practices. This table also describes the timeframe for implementation and the specific steps required for implementation.



| Table 7-1: | Implementatio | n Schedule | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Action Needed (Manage- ment Practice) | Issue to be Addressed and Resource(s) Affected | Permittee Category of Responsible Parties (if applicable) | For All Actions: Initial Implementation Step(s) and Associated Date(s) | For Short-term Actions: Further Action to Complete Implementation and Associated Date(s) | For Long-term Actions: Further Action to Complete Implementation and Associated Date(s) | Responsible Parties |
| | (Ala | | Water Managem Marys, Suwannee, | | e Rivers) | |
| Analysis of water availability to prevent impact to gap periods | Forecasted agricultural withdrawals at Claxton | Agricultural Surface Withdrawal | EPD to develop Data Needs and Guidance for Analysis Requirements Applicants to submit analysis from 2010-2015 | EPD from 2010-2015 revise and expedite application process based on refined resources assessment tools | Determine if expedited or revised permitting process is warranted to allow for use of the resource and protection of critical low flows | EPD Applicant for Agricultural Surface water permit |
| Refine agricultural education and data collection practices | Gaps: education, data, and flow regime | NA | June – December 2011 Develop scope of work and key partnering agencies January 2012-201 | data collection and evaluation by | NA | EPD in partnership with UGA, GSWCC, GDA Other key institutions |



| Water conservation practices (T3-T4) | Program- matic practices | NA | Jun-Dec 2011 confirm and verify status of selected conservation practices | Implement water conservation practices thorough 01/2020 | Verify Conservation savings estimates | EPD, GSWCC others? |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | | Conduct outreach/education incentives to encourage implementation of conservation measures | | | EPD, GSWCC, GDA others for support Individual agricultural users for implementation |
| Increase/ replace surface water supply with groundwater | Gaps: flow regime | Agricultural Groundwater Withdrawal | Need to verify council support | Replace surface water supply by 01/2020 | | |
| Identify opportunities to restore historically drained wetlands | Gaps: flow regime and water quality | Need EPD guidance on selection of permit | | NA | Restore wetland characteristics by 01/2050 | |
| | | | lwater Management | | | |
| | Remainder | ` * * | 10 pumping from Florid Claiborne, Gordon, Cre | • • | · · | |
| Sustainable withdrawals to satisfy multi-sector uses | Regional pumping | Agricultural , Industrial, and Municipal Groundwater Withdrawal | Present through first update of Water Plan 2015 verify sustainable yield metrics consider any relevant localized impacts | Provide guidance and implement sustainable groundwater withdrawal rates through 01/2020 | Modify resources assessments and sustainable yield criteria | Applicant for permits EPD for other actions specified |



| Potential facility/ infrastructure needs at County scale | 2050 municipal facility/ infrastructure gaps (Brantley, Coffee, Echols, Lanier, Lowdnes, Pierce, and Ware Counies) 2050 industrial facility/ infrastructure gaps (Ben Hill, Cook, and Ware Counties) | Public Water System | June 2012 to first update of Water Plan | Will refine based on County level infrastructure graphics and determine timing between short and long-term projects | | Cities and Utilities to review water plan information and assumptions address possible gaps and/or assist by providing updated data for next water plan |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Water conservation practices (T1-T2) | Program- matic practices | NA | Present through 2020 Update in next Water Plan | Implement water conservation practices by 01/2020 Estimate and verify conservation savings | 2020-2050 Continue implementation of practices and estimate/verify conservation savings | Cities and Utilities WCIP state agencies |
| Additional well development in Upper Floridan and other aquifers | Increase in forecasted groundwater withdrawals | Municipal Groundwater Withdrawal | Present through 2020 issue permits improve data formats for first Water Plan update | 5 | 2020-2050 issue permits and incorporate relevant technical data for resource assessments | Applicant and EPD |
| Incentive- based land use practices to promote infiltration and aquifer recharge | Maintain sustainable yield of prioritized aquifers | NA | Present through 2020 Monitor landuse changes and further delineate aquifer recharge areas | Include information in Water Plan update | 2020-2050 continue to monitor landuse and hydrologic relationships | EPD |



| | Wastewater Management Practices | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--------------|--------|----------|
| Increase water returns by decreasing LASs | Gaps: flow regime | General Wastewater | Site specific need direction this is facility level | | | |
| Increase water returns by decreasing OSSMSs | Gaps: flow regime | General Wastewater | Site specific or County specific | | | Counties |
| Potential facility/ infrastructure needs at County scale | 2050 municipal facility/ infrastructure gap (Bacon, Cook, Lowndes, and Pierce Counties) 2050 industrial facility/ infrastructure gap (Brooks and Ware Counties) | General Wastewater | Site specific need direction this is facility level | | | |
| Utility system upgrades and replacements | Facility/ infrastructure needs | General Wastewater | Site specific need direction this is facility level | | | |
| | Water (| Quality (Disso | lved Oxygen) Mana | agement Prac | ctices | |
| Utility system upgrades and replacements | Facility/ infrastructure needs | General Wastewater | Site specific need direction this is facility level | | | |
| Investigate reaches with limited assimilative capacity identified through DO modeling | Surface water quality gaps identified through DO modeling | NA | | | | |



| Non-point source pollution reduction | Program- matic practices | Municipal/ Industrial/ Construction Stormwater | | | | City and County for urban Silva culture for forestry Individual and corporate for agriculture |
|---|---|---|---------------------|-----------------|-------|---|
| | W | ater Quality Im | pairment Manag | gement Praction | ces | |
| Non-point source pollution reduction | Programmatic practices | Municipal/ Industrial/ Construction Stormwater | | | | City, county, for urban Silva culture for forestry Individual and corporate for agriculture |
| Identify opportunities to restore historically drained wetlands | Help address quality and quantity nexus | Need EPD guidance on selection of permit | | | | |
| | Water | Quality (Nutrie | ents) - Satilla Riv | ver Watershed | Model | |
| Non-point source pollution reduction | Programmatic practices | Municipal/ Industrial/ Construction Stormwater | | | | City and County for urban Silva culture for forestry Individual and corporate for agriculture |
| | Education/Ordinances | | | | | |
| Water Conservation Education/ Outreach Programs | Help promote sustainable use of surface and groundwater resources | NA | | | | WCIP agencies |



| Non-point source pollution issues associated with septic tanks/ systems, stormwater, and non-point source pollution from urban, forested, and agricultural lands | Improve awareness of water quality and land use practices. Improve water quality in watershed and Local Drainage Area | Municipal/ Industrial/ Construction Stormwater | | | Cities, Counties, GSWCC, GFC |
|--|--|---|--|-----------|------------------------------------|
| | | Shared | Resources Coo | rdination | |
| Resource coordination with Savannah- Upper Ogeechee and Coastal Georgia Councils | Flow regime gap (Eden and Kings Ferry) | NA | Need to identify selected practices for all regions first | | |
| Resource coordination with Suwannee- Satilla Council | Flow regime gap (Atkinson and Statenville) | NA | Need to identify selected practices for all regions first | | |

7.2. Fiscal Implications of Selected Water Management Practices

{Planning Contractors will describe the planning level cost of the water management practices as well as funding sources and options (14.7.c.xiv).

The Planning Contractor may want to outline potential mechanisms (e.g. GEFA loan and grant programs) that could be used to support the local government implementation of the selected water management practices.

The costs in Table 7-2 may be dollars based on calculations or may be rough estimates based on the cost guidance. The sources for costs is to be detailed in the "Notes and Sources For Costs" column.}



| Table 7-2: Cost Estimates for the Implementation Responsibilities | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Action Needed (Management Practice) | Issue to Be Addressed | Capital/ Program- matic Cost | O&M Costs | Non- Monetary Costs | Funding Sources and Options | Notes and Sources for Costs |
| {NOTE: ACTIONS carried over from Table 6– 2} | {NOTE: ISSUES carried over from Table 6–2} | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

7.3. Alignment with Other Plans

{Note here consistencies and differences between the Regional Water Plan and other regional and local plans (14.7.c.x), specifically addressing alignments and/or inconsistencies associated with timing, budgeting, or responsibilities. Explanations for inconsistencies should be provided, and recommendations to promote future compatibility between plans should be made}.

7.4. Recommendations to the State

The Suwannee-Satilla Council supports the concept of regional water resource planning with a focus on planning Councils composed of local governments, water users, water providers, industry, business and affected stakeholders. Local representatives are typically most familiar with local water resource issues and needs. The State has a vital role providing technical support, guidance, and funding to support locally focused water resource planning. This plan should be viewed as a living, iterative document.

The Suwannee-Satilla Council is sensitive to unintended consequences if plan recommendations become mandates or infringe upon private property rights. The State must help balance plan recommendations with assessing measurable progress toward plan implementation. If additional rules or other administrative or regulatory actions are deemed necessary, the State should work with Councils to help ensure workable solutions.



The following specific recommendations to the State are provided to help aid in the successful implementation of the plan.

Georgia EPD

- Consider "institutionalizing" planning. This would entail a long term commitment of staff and funding to: monitor and support plan recommendations; coordinate improved data collection, management and analysis; continue to develop and improve resource assessment tools; and help provide funding, permitting, and technical support to address gaps and water resource needs.
- Work with Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission, Georgia Department of Agriculture, University of Georgia and other relevant institutions to improve agricultural water use data collection and management. This effort would focus on refining source(s) of supply for multiple irrigation sources, continuing to assess data on crop water requirements, evaluating the effects of farm ponds on direct irrigation withdrawals and the hydrologic cycle, and further research on crop consumptive use. This data in turn should be coordinated with Resource Assessment tools to ensure accurate simulation of any gaps and assumptions.
- Work with the Southern Georgia Regional Commission to expand water quality monitoring of tributaries on the State's 303(d) list and tributaries identified as having little or no dissolved oxygen assimilative capacity. Develop water quality standards that reflect the naturally low dissolved oxygen blackwater streams that are prevalent in this area.
- Focus funding support and permitting assistance to projects and programs aimed at addressing gap areas. Where possible, leverage federal funds to help support and expedite project implementation.
- Consider collaborative approaches to collecting more standardized water use data and improving data on water demands. This would include continued improvement and updating databases used in the planning process. It would also involve working with the Georgia Municipal Association and other relevant stakeholders to improve water use information.
- Working with Georgia Environmental Finance Authority, examine opportunities to improve coordination among water providers and users and create incentives to maximize existing infrastructure and coordinated operations.
- Continue to engage in dialogue and data-sharing with the State of Florida regarding current and forecast groundwater use. South Georgia and North Florida rely heavily on the Upper Floridan aquifer to meet water supply needs and it is in EPD's best interest to include the most accurate available information on growth and groundwater use in both states in our resource assessment modeling.



Georgia Environmental Finance Authority

 Meeting forecasted water supply needs will require stable and flexible funding sources to assist water users and water and wastewater utilities in meeting forecasted needs. A stable GEFA financing source(s) should be provided for necessary water supply, water and wastewater plant construction and plant upgrades to address current and future gaps.

Georgia Forestry Commission (GFC)

 Continue to support and fund the GFC Forestry Best Management Practices Program. Providing education and incentives to control erosion and segmentation will help the region prevent/address TMDL listed segments, reduce nutrient loadings, and support wetland areas. This will have the benefit of helping sustaining baseflow conditions of streams and water quality.

Georgia Soil and Water Conservation Commission (GSWCC)

GSWCC should continue to provide leadership and locally focused efforts in the following programs:

- Continue education and outreach associated with *Urban Erosion and Sediment Control* program including certification of individuals involved in land disturbing activities and on-site implementation of erosion, sedimentation, and pollution control plans. This will help address the water quality needs of the region.
- Continue education and outreach efforts to agricultural interests through annual Irrigation Meetings and other avenues to inform farmers of available technologies and funding sources to make more efficient use of water resources without incurring hardship.
- Support completion, maintenance and improvement of the Agricultural Water Use
 Measurement Program, which is aimed at cost effectively collecting agricultural
 water use data across the state, and integrating cooperative arrangements with
 the private sector and partnerships with other State agencies. This program is a
 vital component to helping the State and regions effectively manage and utilize
 water resources.
- Support Georgia Agricultural Conservation Incentive program, which provides funding support to help implement conservation practices. Funding for this program is essential to help implement conservation measures, especially in the regional watersheds where there are surface water gaps.

Coordination with Agencies Identified in the Water Conservation Implementation Plan and Identification of other key State agencies (CDM would like your input on this topic during our Review Meeting).

7-10

WATER DEVELOPMENT & CONSERVATION PLAN

7. Implementing Water Management Practices



Provide incentives to restore wetlands and historically drained hardwood swamps and other natural retention areas. Restoration of these features will replenish sources of headwaters by retaining surface runoff and releasing it over a longer period to offset loss of baseflows between rain events, while also providing additional recharge to surficial aquifers.



Section 8. Monitoring and Reporting Progress

The selected water management practices identified in Section 6 will be primarily implemented (as described in Section 7) by the various water users in the region, including local governments and others with the capacity to develop water infrastructure and apply for the required permits, grants, and loans.

The benchmarks prepared by the Suwannee-Satilla Council and listed in Table 8-1 below will be used to assess the effectiveness of this plan's implementation and identify any required revisions. As detailed below, the Council selected both qualitative and quantitative benchmarks that will be used to assess whether the water management practices are closing gaps over time and allowing the water planning region to meet its vision and goals. The benchmarks will be used to evaluate the

Summary

Insert text regarding the summary of this section.

Regional Water Plan effectiveness at the next 5-year plan review.

8.1. Benchmarks

{Benchmarks should be specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-phased. In the text below the table the Council should outline how to collect and analyze the data necessary to evaluate implementation progress. The time period is intended to show the timeframe between evaluations to provide meaningful results — some benchmarks may be long-term and not measured well on a short timeframe.}

| Table 8-1: Benchmarks for Water Management Plans | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Action Needed (Management Practice) | Benchmark | Measurement Tools | Time Period | | | |
| {NOTE: ACTIONS carried over from Table 6–2} | {Identify quantitative and qualitative benchmarks that can be used to evaluate the region's progress toward achieving their regional vision and the guiding policy of the State Water Plan} | {TBD by Council} | {TBD by Council} | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



8. Monitoring and Reporting Progress

8.2. Plan Updates

Meeting current and future water needs will require periodic review and revision of Regional Water Plans. The State Water Plan and associated rules provide that each Regional Water Plan will be subject to review by the appropriate Regional Water Planning Council every five years and in accordance with this guidance provided by the Director, unless otherwise required by the Director for earlier review. These reviews and updates will allow an opportunity to adapt the Regional Water Plan based on changed circumstances and new information arising in the five years after EPD's adoption of these plans. These benchmarks will guide EPD in the review of the Regional Water Plan.

8.3. Plan Amendments

{Language TBD -- Councils may provide recommend language here e.g. "triggering events" to the degree the Council has discussed and has opinions on this topic.}



Bibliography

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. Suwannee River Basin Management Plan 2002.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. Satilla River Basin Management Plan 2002.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. St. Marys River Basin Management Plan 2002.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. Georgia's State Water Plan. Regional Water Planning Guidance. July 2009.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. Georgia's Water Resources. A Blueprint for the Future. Draft Submission to the Water Council. June 28, 2007.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Wildlife Resources Division. A Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy for Georgia. August 31, 2005.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Wildlife Resources Division. Fisheries Section Annual Report. 2006.

Georgia Comprehensive State-wide Water Management Plan. Georgia Water Council. January 8, 2008.

Georgia Department of Natural Resources. Environmental Protection Division. The State of Georgia's Environment. 2009.

Cowie, Gail and Davis, Deron. Georgia's State Water Plan. Retrieved on March 2, 2009. www.robinson.gsu.edu/ethics_pub/2009/cowie.pdf

Southeast Regional Climate Center. Climate summaries obtained for the following stations: Douglas (ID 092783), Fitzgerald (ID 093386), Ashburn (ID 090406), Tifton (ID 098703), Alapaha (090131), Adel (ID 090053), Nashville (ID 096237), Quitman (097276), and Homerville (ID 094429).

Georgia Department of Labor's LaborMarket Explorer and Local Area Profiles. Retrieved on July 21, 2010, www.explorer.dol.state.ga.us/mis/profiles.htm

Georgia Department of Economic Development's GeorgiaFacts website. Retrieved on July 21, 2010, www.georgiafacts.net

Georgia Department of Community Affairs Georgia County Snapshots website.

Retrieved on July 21, 2010, www.dca.state.ga.us/CountySnapshotsNet/default.aspx

Bibliography

University System of Georgia, Map of USG Institutions. Retrieved on July 21, 2010, www.usq.edu/inst/map/

Georgia Department of Corrections website Facility Search. Retrieved on July 21, 2010, www.dcor.state.ga.us/GDC/FacilityMap/jsp/FacQrybyCounty.jsp

Georgia Department of Community Affairs, Regional Planning Rules "Standards and Procedures for Regional Planning." Chapter 110-12-6, et seq. www.dca.ga.gov/development/PlanningQualityGrowth/PAGES/Legal.asp#RegionalRules

Southern Georgia Regional Commission website. Retrieved August 3, 2010, www.sqrc.us/

Georgia Forestry Commission. The Economic Impact of Forest Products Manufacturing In Georgia. 2008. www.gfc.state.ga.us/ForestMarketing/documents/EconomicImpactofFPMinGA2008.pdf

Governor's Office of Planning and Budget. Georgia 2030 Population Projections. March 12, 2010.